REVISED GUIDELINES ON SUB-MISSION ON WATER QUALITY

Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission
Department of Drinking Water Supply
Ministry of Rural Development
Government of India
1.1 **SUB-MISSION PROJECTS**

Sub-Mission projects are undertaken by the States for providing safe drinking water to the rural habitations facing water quality problems like excess fluoride, Arsenic, Brackishness, Iron, nitrate or a combination of these. Sub Mission projects are also taken up for ensuring source sustainability through rain water harvesting, artificial recharge etc.

1.2 **DELEGATION OF POWERS FOR SANCTIONING SUB-MISSION PROJECTS**

Powers have already been delegated to the States for sanctioning Sub-Mission Projects w.e.f. 1-4-1998. The State Governments/UTs may approve the Sub-Mission projects by following the procedure being adopted for sanctioning normal ARWSP schemes without detriment to coverage, sustainability and quality aspects. The funding pattern of Sub Mission projects taken up after 1-4-1999 will be in the ratio of 75:25 between the Central and State Governments. However, the funding pattern for the Sub-Mission projects sanctioned between 1-4-1998 and 31-3-1999 would remain in the ratio of 50:50 between the Central and State Governments.

1.3 **SUB-MISSION PROJECTS ON WATER QUALITY**

Government of India in February 2006 has approved major policy changes for Sub-Mission programme on water quality, wherein, it has now been decided to retain upto 20% of ARWSP funds at the Centre to provide focused funding to those States which have reported drinking water quality problems. This ceiling could be exceeded in exceptional cases for providing focused funding to tackle severe contaminations of water. The funding pattern of all projects taken up after February 2006 shall remain as 75:25 between Centre and State.

(ii) Sub Mission projects taken up for ensuring source sustainability through rain water harvesting, artificial recharge etc will continue to be taken up by the State Governments out of 5% of ARWSP funds allocated to them for sustainability under the delegated powers.
(iii) Funds to be allocated to States would be governed by the following weightage criteria - Arsenic = 35%, Fluoride = 35%, Salinity = 15%, Nitrate = 5%, Iron = 5% and Multiple problems = 5%.

(iv) Since coverage is dynamic and subsequent surveys may reveal new cases of contamination, the above weightage criteria may require change at regular intervals. The Department of Drinking Water Supply in consultation with Planning Commission and the Department’s Integrated Finance Division will do the same whenever required. Hence forth, upto 20% of ARWSP funds would be retained by the Centre for focused funding to tackle water quality problems that will be assessed periodically.

(v) Involvement of Gram Panchayats/VWSC in selection, implementation and maintenance of the assets created will be the guiding principle for the new projects sanctioned under the Sub-Mission for water quality. To facilitate sustainability, community contribution towards capital cost for assets created for distribution network within the village (habitation in hilly/tribal/difficult area) shall be at least 10%. It may be only 2.5% for SC/ST habitations. This was decided in consultation with States in the State Minister’s Conference held on 31st Jan-1st Feb 2006. The community contribution could be paid in cash, labour, land or material or a combination of these. The Gram Panchayats/Village Water and Sanitation Committees VWSC) shall have the responsibility of mobilizing community participation. Completed single-village schemes and intra-village distribution network of multi-village schemes are to be transferred in a phased manner alongwith funds transfer to Gram Panchayats/VWSCs for subsequent Operation & Maintenance. The GPs/VWSCs would recover O&M cost through collection of user charges and by mobilizing resources. The user charges so collected would be used exclusively for the O&M of the assets for drinking water. For multi-village schemes, the State Government Department/ Board would maintain main water supply system to the village. For difficult single village schemes, particularly, in hilly/tribal/difficult areas the State Government Department/Board would maintain the water supply system to the habitation. However, the State Governments may devolve this responsibility to an appropriate level of the Panchayati Raj Institutions(PRI), depending upon the technical requirements of the scheme. The
Department/Board/PRI would maintain the main water supply system upto the
village and could also charge the GPs/VWSCs for bulk supply of water for drinking
purposes.

### 1.4 PROCEDURE FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

The Project-wise funds will be released to States in three instalments of 45, 45
and 10% against the Central Share. This pattern can be changed depending upon the
technology used for tackling the problem. The State Governments are required to
contribute their share in similar installments.

(ii) The second or subsequent installment will be released based on submission of
separate Utilization certificates duly signed by Chief Engineer and countersigned by
Secretary in-charge of PHED in the form enclosed (Annexure I) for more than 60% 
expenditure under Central and State shares in addition Audit certificates whenever
due.

(iii) The States/UTs are also required to comply with the following:

(a) Funds will be released for implementation of Sub-Mission projects for the
projects approved by the State level Scheme Sanctioning Committee. The existing
Committee in the PHED with Secretary, in-charge of Rural water supply as the
Chairman and with representatives from the CGWB, NGRI, NEERI, State Referral
Institute identified for Water Quality Monitoring & Surveillance, etc., constituted for
the purpose of clearing the ARWSP(normal) projects may also consider and clear the
Sub Mission projects, after the project proposals are duly cleared by the State level
Source finding Committee, for ensuring source sustainability. It would be
mandatory to obtain clearance of the State level Scientific Source Finding Committee.
The agenda for the meetings should be sent to Central Government 20 days in
advance to facilitate examination and enable the Government to offer comments. It
is also mandatory for the State Governments for associating the representative of the
Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission in the State level Sanctioning
Committee set up to sanction Sub Mission projects by the States/UTs. For seeking
release of funds, the proposal should be sent immediately along with minutes of the
State level Scheme Sanctioning Committee. Cases requiring clarifications on examination can be referred back to States.

(b) Names of habitations affected with quality problem in each district should be sent to the Central Government indicating the extent of excess arsenic, fluoride, salinity and iron with the undertaking that the schemes are taken up in the order of priority, first covering the habitations with the highest extent of chemical contamination.

(c) The State Governments are required to formulate an Action Plan for tackling all the water quality problems during the period of 4 years i.e., 2005-06 to 2008-09, as per the template annexed (Annex-II) with the guidelines and send the same to Central Government for facilitating release of funds and monitoring. The Action Plan will comprise of list of the water quality habitations with concentration of the contaminants found in excess in drinking water, apart from other details like type of scheme vis-à-vis technology adopted, tentative cost of coverage, time frame for implementation, modalities of Operation & Maintenance by PRIs/State implementing agency. The Action Plan should indicate the left over and total number of habitations affected with specific quality problems at the commencement of the year and the target for the year (with the names) of the habitations to be covered.

(d) The State Governments shall devise an integrated approach for technology options covering single village schemes, comprehensive piped water supply schemes, low cost treatment plants, domestic filters, roof-top rain water harvesting, in-situ water conservation, etc., For treatment of water contamination, the ratio of affected habitations to be provided with alternate safe source based drinking water supply scheme and in-situ treatment technology based drinking water supply scheme should be, as far as possible, as follows-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Type of Problem</th>
<th>Alternate Safe Source Vs In-situ Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Arsenic, fluoride and salinity</td>
<td>90:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Iron affected habitations</td>
<td>30:70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>Nitrate affected habitations</td>
<td>100:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(e) Common habitations which are NC/PC and also quality affected should be first covered fully with safe drinking water facilities. The habitations already covered fully but affected by chemical and/or bacteriological contamination may be covered subsequently under separate schemes/projects.

(f) The per capita cost should not ordinarily exceed the cost norms followed by the State Governments under the ARWSP and the State MNP.

(g) The State Governments are required to communicate availability of matching State share at the time of request for releases for the sanctioned Sub-Mission projects.

(h) The procedure for capital cost sharing by the community and O&M costs shall be as mentioned 1.3 (v)

(i) Coverage funds from normal-ARWSP could be utilized in Sub-Mission projects, to cover enroute PC & NC habitations. Costs of coverage of such habitations from the main or trunk water supply line, shall remain on 50:50 basis between Centre and State as per ARWSP norms. However, capital cost contribution of community for intra-village distribution network and subsequent O&M costs, as described in the above para shall remain valid for these NC/PC habitations also.

(j) Coverage of Urban and Cantonment areas could also be done under the Sub-Mission projects, provided they bear the proportionate cost of bulk water/treated water to be supplied to them at the entry point of their territory.

(k) Every Sub-Mission project should be studied for probable infiltration routes or ground water movements and the possibility of entry into the aquifer, due to disposal of waste-water/sludge. Hydro-geo-morphological maps prepared for ground water prospecting shall be utilized for selection of drinking water source and locating suitable locations for water harvesting structures.

1.5 DUAL WATER POLICY

Dual Water Supply Policy may be adopted for rural habitations facing acute water quality problems. In these habitations even if safe water is provided upto 10 LPCD, which would be sufficient for drinking and cooking purposes, it may be
considered as habitation(s) with a safe source of drinking water. For other activities like washing, etc. water available from unsafe sources could be utilized without problem.

1.6 MONITORING & EVALUATION

Monthly and Quarterly Progress Reports as per enclosed format, shall be submitted to the Ministry by all the State Governments/UTs regularly within 10 days of succeeding month.

(ii) The existing Committee in the PHED with Secretary, in-charge of Rural water supply will constitute Review Missions for conducting on-the-spot inspection of the Sub-Mission projects during the course of implementation. The State Governments may devise a standard schedule of inspection for this purpose.

(iii) The Central Government will also field Review Teams/Independent Monitors once in six months or as per requirement, for inspection of Sub-Mission projects.

(iv) The Review Mission/Independent Monitors may suggest mid-course correction related to pace of implementation, quality of works, technology options and financial implications, if the situation demands so. Any deviations from the original scope of works will be subjected to approval of the Ministry.

(v) The District level Vigilance & Monitoring Committee set up by Ministry of Rural Development will also monitor the progress and exercise vigilance in respect of Sub-Mission Projects. Both State level PHED and District level officers must provide information to District level Vigilance & Monitoring Committees and PRIs.

(vi) Both State PHED and District level officers must provide information to District Level Vigilance and Monitoring Committees & PRIs.

1.7 IEC & CAPACITY BUILDING

Treatment plants require active community participation. The awareness generation may include the following components :-
• Effects on health of human beings due to ingestion of contaminated drinking water
• Willingness for partial capital cost contribution for constructing water supply assets for distribution within the habitation/village/panchayat.
• Awareness of school children with regard to school water supply.
• Capacity building of community for understanding the system from technical angle including back-washing procedures
• Understanding that the performance of treatment plants
• Understanding the financial implications for taking up proper O&M
• Quality audit by the community using field test kits and technical monitoring by concerned PHED/Board

(ii) Funds granted under the Communication & Capacity Development Units (CCDU) could be utilized for undertaking awareness campaigns on various water related diseases, training and capacity building of Panchayati Raj Institutions/Village Water and Sanitation Committees.

Note:- All references to Sub-Mission on Water Quality in the Guidelines for Implementation of Rural Water Supply Programme issued in 2000 by Rajiv Gandhi National Drinking Water Mission, Department of Drinking Water Supply shall stand modified according to these revised guidelines.
Form of Utilization Certificate

Certified that a sum of Rs. ................. only was received by SWSM/DP/DWSM/DWSC/VWSC (as the case may be) as Grants-in-aid during ........ from Government of India as per details given in the margin and a sum of Rs. ............. was received from the State Government during ............. Further a sum of Rs. ........only being unspent balance of the previous year......... Was allowed to be brought forward for utilisation during the year .............

The other miscellaneous receipts as given in the attached annexure during the year was Rs...........

It is also certified that out of the above mentioned total funds of Rs. ............ only a sum of Rs. .................only has been utilised by SWSM/DP/DWSM/DWSC/VWSC w.e.f. 1.4.200 to 31.3.200 for the purpose for which it was sanctioned. It is further certified that the unspent balance of Rs. ................. only was remaining at the end of the year has been allowed to be utilised for the Programme next year.

2. Certified that I have satisfied myself that the conditions on which the grants-in-aid was sanctioned have been duly fulfilled/are being fulfilled and that I have exercised the following checks to see that the money has been actually utilised for the purpose for which it was sanctioned.

(i) The Agency’s statement of accounts w.e.f. 1.4.200 to 31.3.200 duly audited by the Chartered Accountant have been received and accepted.

(ii) It has been ensured that physical and financial performance has been according to the requirements as prescribed in the Guidelines issued by Government of India / State Government.

Signature                Signature
Designation – Chairman     Designation – Member Secretary

Date :
Place:
## ANNEXURE FOR UTILISATION CERTIFICATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opening Balance as on 1st April</th>
<th>Receipt of Central Share</th>
<th>State Share</th>
<th>Refund of Unutilised Grant</th>
<th>Interest received during the year</th>
<th>Other Receipts e.g. Community contribution etc.</th>
<th>Total Receipt of the year – Total funds available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A+B+C+D+E+F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annexure - II

ACTION PLAN TEMPLATE FOR TACKLING RURAL DRINKING WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS
UNDER SUB-MISSION PROGRAMME

1. Name of State :-----------------------------

2. No. of habitations affected with drinking water quality problem
   (a) (as per 1999 survey) :-----------------------------
   - Total :-----------------------------
   - Fluoride (> 1.5 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Arsenic (> 0.05 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Brackishness (> 1500 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Nitrates (> 45 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Iron (> 1.0 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Multiple problem (combination of above) :-----------------------------

   (b) (as per 2000 survey) :-----------------------------
   - Total :-----------------------------
   - Fluoride (> 1.5 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Arsenic (> 0.05 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Brackishness (> 1500 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Nitrates (> 45 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Iron (> 1.0 ppm) :-----------------------------
   - Multiple problem (combination of above) :-----------------------------

3. No. of water quality problem habitations where safe drinking water supply facility has been provided so far under Sub – Mission Programme (as on 1.4.2005) :-----------------------------

4. (a) No. of water quality problem habitations which are yet to be provided with safe drinking water supply facilities (as on 31.03.2005) :-----------------------------
   (b) Time frame required for covering all remaining water quality habitations :-----------------------------

5. No. of WQ habitations proposed to be provided with safe drinking water supply by Treatment Technologies :-----------------------------

6. Average cost of Treatment Plants : (capacity-wise) : Capacity Cost

7. No. of treatment plants required to be provided (capacity –wise) :Capacity Nos. Habs Served

8. Total cost of providing safe water through treatment plant :-----------------------------

9. No. of water quality habitations proposed to be covered by alternate safe sources :-----------------------------

10. Average cost of providing drinking water to the water quality habitations from alternate safe sources {PWSS/Multi-village schemes/others (specify)} :-----------------------------

11. No. of PWSS proposed to be implemented :-----------------------------

12. Funds required for alternative safe source based PWSS Schemes :-----------------------------
13. Total funds required for tackling water quality problem: __________________________

   (a) Central share (75% of Estd cost) (13) : __________________________
   (b) State share (25% of Estd. Cost) (13) : __________________________

   10th Plan 11th Plan
   (a) Central : __________________________
   (b) State : __________________________

16. Funds proposed from other schemes like NABARD, HUDCO, ADB, World Bank, etc.

   Year Name of the scheme Funding source Total funding expected

17. Financial breakup (year-wise) (Rs. In crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>2005-06</th>
<th>2006-07</th>
<th>2007-08</th>
<th>2008-09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of water quality habitations targeted to be covered.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Fluoride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brackishness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Iron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Multiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (year-wise funds required – identified as gap)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Fluoride</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brackishness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Nitrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Iron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Multiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Government Share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Remarks:

18. Documents to be furnished:
   (i) Implementation schedule (Plan of Implementation)
   (ii) Prioritization of Water quality affected habitations under arsenic, fluoride, brackishness, nitrate, iron and multiple problems, year-wise.

19. Priority list of habitations – To be enclosed by State Govt as per the enclosed proforma.
Countersigned by

(State Secretary dealing with RWS)
Date:
Proforma for prioritization of List of habitations in ____________________ State to be included in the Sub-Mission programme during 2005-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the habitation</th>
<th>GP name</th>
<th>Block name</th>
<th>Reason for prioritization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water quality habitations not covered under CAP 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PROFORMA FOR MONTHLY/QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORTS UNDER SUB-MISSION PROGRAMME

**Name of the State: ------------------**  
**Reporting period: ------------------**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No</th>
<th>Name of the Sub-Mission Project</th>
<th>Name of the district</th>
<th>Date of Sanction</th>
<th>Date of release of funds from centre</th>
<th>No. of quality affected habitations proposed to be tackled</th>
<th>No. of quality affected habitations tackled as on date</th>
<th>Total project cost</th>
<th>Central Share of the project</th>
<th>State Share of the project</th>
<th>Central Share released</th>
<th>State Share released</th>
<th>Expenditure against Central Share</th>
<th>Expenditure against State Share</th>
<th>Date of completion of project</th>
<th>Reasons for delay in project, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>